

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

TARA CHESTNUT,
Plaintiff,

v.

ACP/467, et al.,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 24-CV-1674

ORDER

AND NOW, this 26th day of April, 2024, upon consideration of Defendant ACP/467's Motions (ECF No. 8, 9, 11, 12), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Motions to Correct and "Request" (ECF No. 8, 9, 11) are **DENIED AS MOOT** because the Clerk's Office has already corrected the underlying docketing issue of which ACP/467 complains by docketing her previously-filed *in forma pauperis* motion as ECF No. 12. To the extent the Motions seek other relief, they are **DENIED**.
2. The Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 12) is **DENIED AS MOOT** because this case has been remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez

JUAN R. SÁNCHEZ, J.